Minutes for the June 22, 2021 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

Please refer to your meeting invitation for the zoom password.

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura
IBM: Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter, Neil Patterson
Oracle: Ed Bratt, Will Lyons, Dmitry Kornilov
Payara: Steve Millidge
Red Hat: Mark Little, John Clingan, Scott Stark
Tomitribe: Cesar Hernandez, Jonathan Gallimore, Jean-Louis Monteiro
Enterprise Member representative: Jun Qian
Participant member representative:
Committer member representative: Arjan Tijms
(Quorum is 5 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: Ivar Grimstad, Paul Buck, Paul White

Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings

> Minutes of the May 25 meeting were approved.

> Minutes of the June 8 meeting will be reviewed next time.

Acquire New Working Group Members

- A Q2 objective is to "Identify ways to find potential new members".
- Paul reviewed a short [presentation](#) on the call.
- Kevin expressed interest in seeing pipelines.
- Questions were raised about whether we could do more for JUGs and cloud providers.
- A/I: Will volunteered to draft specific suggestions for group review.

Jakarta EE Presence in Asia

- See the [short report](#) we discussed on the Steering Committee call last time.
- A/I: Committee members were requested to propose someone from their organizations, or from external organizations, who can help organize Jakarta EE activities and:
  - Speaks Chinese and English
  - Is local to the China timezone

- A/I: It was agreed we should hold a meeting with Chinese members to hear directly from them what would be most helpful to them in promoting Jakarta EE in China.   Such a meeting would need to be moderated by someone who is bilingual.

Patent License Option - Frederic Desbiens

- Frederic Desbiens from the Eclipse Foundation presented on the topic of Patent Licenses in the Context of the EFSP (see email from Wayne Beaton dated June 16)
- See minutes from June 8 meeting on this topic:
    - https://docs.google.com/document/d/16AwgivXpavMhc8wF2iEFDjGMSD-zyvnJO6mrVDH2pQQ/edit

Multiple Alternates

- The following was discussed briefly. This issue is considered resolved and will be deleted from meeting agendas going forward
    - The question was raised on May 11 whether the Steering Committee should permit multiple alternates to be designated.   It was agreed to review this during this meeting.
    - A resolution was suggested on May 25.
        > RESOLVED, the Jakarta EE Working Group committees shall allow their committee members to designate up to two designated alternates who may participate in committee meetings, and may, in the absence of the primary committee member, vote on resolutions brought to the committees. Only the primary committee member, or one of the designated alternates, may vote on any resolution.
    - The Eclipse Foundation requested additional time to review the proposal, and to comment on this in the next meeting. Paul White sent out mail dated June 7:

        > On behalf of the Foundation, we believe this resolution is unnecessary as the Jakarta EE Charter already allows for any representative on a committee to have another individual represent them, or to assign their vote via proxy to another committee member. In both cases, the bar is low to assign such a proxy. Specifically, the Charter states:

        > "In the event a Body member is unavailable to attend or participate in a meeting of the Body, they may send a representative and may vote by proxy, or they may be represented by another Body member by providing written proxy to the Body's mailing list in advance, which shall be included in determining whether the representative is in Good Standing."

        > Thus, we are recommending against moving forward with the proposed resolution.

<u>The following topics were not covered due to time constraints</u>

Objectives Review

- We need to review, adjust and redefine Q3 objectives that we set out early this year.
- Please refer to:
  - The [2021 Jakarta EE Program Plan - by quarter](#) presentation and
  - The [corresponding Q3 2021](#) tab in the spreadsheet

Jakarta EE Developer Survey

- Survey closed
- Schedule for results?

CN4J Joint Messaging Document

- John has sent in mail some proposed "comparison" slide(s) at the following https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1wYBNqUHwADvipTC9fW5ugGMbDf_3_JH9skBtyPcl-IE/edit#slide=id.gbcfab764b6_0_54
- It was proposed that these comparison slides be dropped, but there is not consensus on this proposal.
- John and I will schedule another meeting on this topic for next Tuesday, June 29 12:30 PM EDT.

Proposal on [Developer badging / certification](#) - Neil Patterson

- See minutes from June 8 meeting on this topic:
  - https://docs.google.com/document/d/16AwgivXpavMhc8wF2iEFDjGMSD-zyvnJO6mrVDH2pQQ/edit
- Any follow-up that needs to be discussed?

Jakarta EE 10 (9.1+)

- Status from last time
- Plan reviews
  - https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/labels/plan%20review
  - Will be reaching out to component spec teams whether a release review date of Oct 15 would be achievable.   If there is positive feedback, will target that date.
  - 7 project leads have responded covering multiple (approx 15) specifications.

- Jakarta EE Core Profile Creation and Plan review
  - https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pull/349
  - This (and relationship to Platform release) was a significant topic of review at Platform team and will continue to be.
- Jakarta Config proposal (see prior agenda item on patent licenses)
  - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1dED5v0KgPtCuRlxif6cl_V_G2nKlDCwz3vZETNBgRzY/edit?ts=6086ed3c&pli=1#heading=h.hjwqu5e5ptjj
- Scott opened the following GitHub issue for SoD:
  - https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaee-platform/issues/352

Marketing Committee Update

- Update from Neil as time permits

Elections are coming up

- Chair, committer members representative and participant member representative